



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. RD-29,211 09/839,940 04/20/2001 Ronald Dean Watkins 4093 **EXAMINER** 6147 7590 03/26/2004 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY VARGAS, DIXOMARA **GLOBAL RESEARCH** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59 SCHENECTADY, NY 12301-0008 2859

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		777
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/839,940	WATKINS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dixomara Vargas	2859
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS in the, cause the application to become ABANDO	the timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,	·
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 11-13 and 15-17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3 and 5-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the price of the	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	∆ □ •	(DTO 442)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4)	

Application/Control Number: 09/839,940

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fujita et al (US 6,169,401).

With respect to claim 1, Fujita discloses a whole-body radio frequency coil assembly for a very high field MRI system comprising (Figure 3): a plurality of conductors arranged cylindrically and disposed about a patient bore tube of the MRI system (Figure 1), said conductors having a width selected for said RF coil assembly to resonate at substantially high frequencies and to minimize conductor inductance (Columns 4 and 5, lines 57-67 and 1-9; Figure 3); and, a plurality of capacitive elements for electrically interconnecting said plurality of conductors at respective ends of said conductors (Figure 3), wherein said conductors and capacitive elements form a conductive loop for producing an RF field in the MRI system for imaging (Figures 3 and 4).

3. With respect to claim 3, Fujita discloses said substantially high frequencies occurs in a range between about 64 MHz to about 500 MHz (Column 4, lines 19-40).

Application/Control Number: 09/839,940

Art Unit: 2859

- 4. With respect to claim 5, Fujita discloses said very high field MRI system produces a magnetic field of about 3 Tesla (Column 4, lines 19-39).
- 5. With respect to claim 6, Fujita discloses said plurality of conductors have a selectable length (Columns 4 and 5, lines 57-67 and 1-9; Figure 3).
- 6. With respect to claim 7, Fujita discloses said selectable length is about 55cm (Columns 4 and 5, lines 57-67 and 1-9; Figure 3).
- 7. With respect to claim 8, Fujita discloses said capacitive elements are low inductance end ring capacitors (Column 5, lines 3-9; Figures 3 and 4).
- 8. With respect to claim 10, Fujita discloses a plurality of gaps disposed between said conductors (Figures 3-4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujita et al (US 6,169,401) in view of Eberler et al. (US 6,323,548).

With respect to claim 9, Fujita discloses the claimed invention as stated above in paragraph 2 except for the conductors further include segmented slots for reducing eddy currents induced by gradient coils of said MRI system. However, Eberler discloses RF conductors with segmented slots for reducing eddy currents induced by gradient coils of said MRI system

Application/Control Number: 09/839,940

Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

(Column 2, lines 10-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Eberler's slots for reducing eddy currents in Fujita's radio frequency coil assembly for the purpose of improving the image quality by enhancing the current density distribution of the system and avoiding the eddy current from affecting the other components of the apparatus.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 2 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
 - a. With respect to claim 2, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art because the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a whole-body radio frequency coil assembly for a very high field MRI system comprising: conductors wherein the width of the conductors is selected in accordance with: $W_{\text{max}} = 2\pi * \text{A/N}$ where Wmax is the maximum width, A is the outer diameter radius of said patient bore tube and N is the number of said conductors in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim.
 - b. With respect to claim 4, the claim has been found allowable due to its dependency on claim 2 above.
- 13. Claims 11-17 are allowed.
- 14. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

. . .

c. With respect to claim 11, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art because the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a very high field MRI system comprising: a RF coil shield assembly adapted to further reduce the inductance of the conductors contained within the RF coil assembly in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim.

d. With respect to claims 12-17, the claims have been found allowable due to its dependency on claim 11 above

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional prior art cited in the PTO 892 discloses different birdcage coil with width variation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/839,940 Page 6

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dixomara Vargas

Art Unit 2859 March 17, 2004 Diego Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800